

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,877	11/23/2001	Jose Schutt-Aine	9485.003.00 2204 EXAMINER	
30827	7590 04/27/2004			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		111			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/990,877	SCHUTT-AINE, JOSE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chandrika Prasad	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/3/04	<u>4, 3/8/04</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer, Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 09/990,877

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/3/04 and 3/8/04 have been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 11-19 and 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caviness et al (6036545).

Caviness (Figures 1-8) shows a radio frequency connector having a body18 with a cylindrical inner portion and cylindrical outer portion, a flange 12 attached to the body and having a central cavity configured to receive a chip carrier with a semiconductor device 68 and a plurality of coplanar RF signal and ground pins 54 extending from the cavity wherein the signal pin is connected to the device in the chip carrier and the cavity receives a substantial portion of the chip carrier which is substantially flush with a surface of the flange. There are two ground pins, one 54 and the other one 84. The

Application/Control Number: 09/990,877

Art Unit: 2839

connector has a threaded means adjacent a second end of the body to join to a mating connector.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-10 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caviness et al. (6036545).

Caviness shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 7above except the signal path between the signal pin and the device to be 40, 75, 100, 200, 300, 400 or 500 mils and conductive line to be 50 or 100 mils. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to arrange the device to a desired distance from the pins to obtain a desired signal path and to make the conductive lines 50 or 100 mils long because this would require a mere arrangement or change in size which involve only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ferguson et al. (6326862), Gokcebay et al. (6000609), Yu et al. (50570410 and Brooks et al. (20020042231).

Contact Information

8. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner April 19, 2004